## **Wookey Primary School**

### **Headline Data 2017**

EYFS (13 children) 9 children achieved a GLD (Good Level of Development). This is 69% of the cohort and is equivalent to the national average in 2016. The national average increased in each of the last 4 years up to 2016 so we will see what happens nationally for the current year. 85.7% of our 2016 EYFS cohort achieved a GLD.

Phonics Screening Year 1 (8 children) 7 children achieved the threshold of 32 out of 40 in the phonics check. This is 87.5% of the cohort. The national average was 81%. As in EYFS the national average increased in each of the 4 years leading up to 2016 so we will keenly await the national average for 2017. Year 2 (14 children) 12 children achieved the threshold score in the phonics check. This is 86% of the cohort. The national average for 2016 was 91% so we are slightly below this – unsurprising due to the level of SEN in this year group. The national average has remained fairly constant over the last 2 years.

# **End of KS1 assessments**

# **Context**

This is based on a cohort of 13 children, with 3 of these children having significant SEN. The school receives top up funding for 2 of these 3 children. Each child is worth around 8% of the cohort, with 31% of the cohort being identified as having SEN.

62% of the cohort achieved expected standard+ in Reading, Writing and Maths. This is in line with the national average of 64%. 23% of the cohort achieved the higher standard in Reading, Writing and Maths. This is significantly above the national average of 11%.

62% of the cohort achieved the expected standard in reading in 2017. This is a drop from 80% in 2016 and below the national average (76%) by the equivalent of 2 children, although 38% achieved the higher standard, which is a significant improvement and above the national average.

62% of the cohort of the 13 children eligible achieved the expected standard in writing in 2017. This is a drop from 73% in 2016 and below the national average (68%) by the equivalent of 1 child, although 23% achieved the higher standard, which is a significant improvement and above the national average.

69% of the cohort of the 13 children eligible achieved the expected standard in maths in 2017. This is a slight drop from 73% in 2016 and below the national average (75%) by the equivalent of 1 child, although 38% achieved the higher standard, which is a significant improvement and above the national average.

This cohort achieved a GLD of 54% when they finished EYFS, which further contextualises the group (2017 EYFS GLD = 69%)

# **End of KS2 assessments**

## Context

As we know, the size of this group means that our results are not published nationally. The group increased from 3 to 4 in the middle of this year. Test results In the reading SAT 2 out of 4 children achieved a standardised score of at least 100, indicating that they had met expectations. The same 2 children met expectations in the SPAG test. Of the 2 children who did not meet expectations, 1 missed by 1 point in SPAG and 2 in reading. The final child was our newest arrival. In maths 1 out of 4 children achieved a standardised score of at least 100, indicating that they had met expectations. Of the other 3 children, 1 child missed out by 1 point and another by 2 points. The final child was our newest arrival. None of these 4 children were identified as above average by their KS1 results, and given the raised expectations since then our results are absolutely to be expected.

#### Teacher assessments

Teacher assessments for reading identified that 3 out of the 4 children were working at expected levels. We were very close to this in the testing, but 1 child just missed out. We were moderated by county for writing this term. Of our 4 children, 1 was agreed to be working at 'greater depth' and 2 were agreed to be 'working towards' expectations. 1 child was working at a level identified as 'pre key stage'. Teacher assessments for maths were that 3 children were working at expected standards. Although this is slightly higher than the testing results it is based on rigorous ongoing teacher assessment.